UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,037	07/21/2005	Johannes Schweiger	4879/PCT	9187
	7590 03/14/200 VT ATTORNEYS, P.A	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 726		XAVIER, VALENTINA		
HAMPDEN, ME 04444-0726			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/543,037	SCHWEIGER, JOHANNES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	VALENTINA XAVIER	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 19-35 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 19-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access and applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the confidence	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 28 recites the limitation "the rotation axis" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 32, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klug (US 4,722,499).

With regard to claims 1, 34, and 35:

Klug discloses

-a flow surface of a device moving in a fluid (See Fig. 1), especially a flying machine, especially a lifting surface of a flying machine (aircraft wing);

Application/Control Number: 10/543,037

Art Unit: 3644

-whereby the surface has an elastic axis, EA, (9) extending in the span

Page 3

direction of the surface;

-an adjustable control surface (4 and 5), and

-a control and/or regulating arrangement (Col. 7; Lines 17 - 33) for the

adjustment of the control surface.

Klug's control/regulating arrangement is provided for the generation of an actuating

signal for the control surface from data relating to the aircraft loading and the flight

condition, with utilization of stored nominal value data (See Col. 7; Lines 17 to 33 and Col.

7; Lines 59 - 68).

With regard to claim 2:

Klug's control surface (4 and 5) is arranged offset by a spacing distance relative to the

EA (9) – See Fig. 1.

With regard to claim 19:

Klug's control surface (4 and 5) is arranged rotatably supported about a rotation axis

(6) and the rotation axis or at least a component thereof extends in the direction of the EA -

See Fig. 9.

With regard to claims 20 and 21:

Art Unit: 3644

Klug discloses the control surface being arranged behind or in front of the EA - See Fig. 1.

With regard to claim 23:

Klug discloses the control surface being arranged outside of the wing span – See Fig. 1.

With regard to claims 24 and 25:

Klug discloses the control surface being arranged behind the leading edge of the surface - See Fig. 1.

With regard to claim 32:

Klug's surface is a lifting surface of an aircraft.

Claims 1, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klug (US 4,722,499).

With regard to claim 1:

Klug discloses

-a flow surface of a device moving in a fluid (See Fig. 1), especially a flying machine, especially a lifting surface of a flying machine (aircraft wing);

-whereby the surface has an elastic axis, EA, (9) extending in the span direction of the surface;

-an adjustable control surface (4), and

-a control and/or regulating arrangement (Col. 7; Lines 17-33) for the adjustment of the control surface.

With regard to claim 26:

Klug discloses that the control surface (4) is provided in addition to a wing tip surface (5) at the surface tip.

With regard to claim 27:

Klug's control surface (4) is embodied as a wing tip surface (See Abstract of Klug).

With regard to claims 28 and 29:

Klug's rotation axis of the control surface (4) forming the wing tip surface extends obliquely relative to the direction of the EA (See Fig. 1) and also continues the lifting wing at its tip obliquely or vertically upwardly (See Figs. 1 and 2).

With regard to claims 30 and 31:

Klug discloses that the surface is a lifting wing of a flying machine, whereby the wing tip surface continues the lifting wing at its tip obliquely or vertically upwardly (See Figs. 1

and 2) and the control surface continues the lifting wing in its direction or obliquely downwardly (See Figs. 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klug as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Allen (US 5,988,563).

With regard to claim 22:

Klug fails to disclose that the control surface is arranged within the wing span. However, Allen discloses an articulating control surface (12) that is arranged within the wing span (when in its "initial, vertical position" - abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the control surface taught by Klug to move to a completely vertical position, and therefore be arranged within the wing span, in order to reduce bending moment on the wing as well as increase aerodynamic efficiency of the aircraft.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klug as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Toulmay (US 6,142,738).

With regard to claim 33:

Although Klug does not disclose the lifting surface of a rotary wing aircraft, Toulmay discloses a blade for a rotary wing aircraft including a blade tip winglet (See Abstract of Toulmay). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this winglet on the blade of a rotary wing aircraft in order to reduce the blade-vortex interaction noise (BVI) during descending flight.

Furthermore, it has been held that the recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VALENTINA XAVIER whose telephone number is (571)272-9853. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mansen can be reached on (571)272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Valentina Xavier

/Michael R Mansen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3644

VX